REMARKS

Claims 1-39 are pending. Claims 1, 16, 22, 36 and 39, the only independent claims, have been amended to correct minor typographical errors, without narrowing their scope. Formal drawings are submitted herewith to be substituted for the informal drawings currently on file. Certain of the drawings also add reference numerals without adding new matter. Where reference numerals have been added, an annotated sheet has been included showing the additions:

Claims 1-39 were rejected, presumably under 35 U.S.C. § 103, over U.S. Patent 5,195,031 (Ordish) in view of PRN Newswire "Reuters Launches Dealing 3000." (Hereinafter "PRN Newswire").

Ordish discloses a video communications trading system network, which includes a parser for parsing conversations between keystations, for the generation of deal tickets. The parser parses packets of text (the size of a packet is not specified) and "prunes" away text unrelated to the type of deal (e.g., spot, outright, swap/forward etc.) before the remaining text is re-examined. The parser parses conversation for as long as the conversation continues. However, if conversation relating to a different type of deal is input, the parser will begin "pruning" the conversation in relation to the new type of deal from that point onwards. Col. 9, line 47 to col. 10, line 14. Ordish does not teach or suggest parsing to detect changes in or intended changes in deal status, as required by the claims. Deal status refers to the progress of the deal from the initial request for a quote (RFQ) to deal competition through a number of intermediate stages. The Ordish process does not break deals down in this way.

The PRNewswire article briefly describes the improvements offered by Reuters' "Dealing 3000" product, in particular that 20 currency pairs can be viewed on the screen simultaneously, and that 26 conversations can be held on each keystation (where the previous maximum was four). Final paragraph of page 1 to top line of page 2.

At page 2 of the Office Action, the Examiner appears to base the rejection of claims 1, 16, 22, 36, 37 and 39 in part on the portion of the present specification that acknowledges the Reuters 2000/1 system which "exists for a single instrument" and that the PRNewswire article discloses a system "with a total of 26 conversations dealing of currencies". However, Applicants submit that, inter alia, the inventiveness of the claimed parser has not been recognized.

The claimed invention enables counterparties to trade a plurality of financial instruments on a single platform using a conversational chat or direct input via buttons on the user interface or keyboard driven menus. A plurality of trader terminals are provided, each having a user interface for user input and displaying deal information. The terminals communicate with each other via a communications network. A parser for parsing conversational deal related information is provided at the trader terminals, and any change in or intention to change the deal related information is either notified or sent to the deal stack, which processes data received from the parser.

A noteworthy feature of the claimed invention relates to the use of parsing to detect terms in conversation that indicate a change in, or intention to change, the deal status of particular deals. The parser only parses conversational text pertinent to the status of any of the deals on the deal stack. All other text is ignored unless there is a new Request for Quote (RFQ). This has the advantage over the prior art systems in that it makes the system more flexible: instead of operating a rigid exchange of conversational messages in which only one trader can 'own' the conversation, the system of the present invention allows any party to a deal to enter conversations into the system at any time.

Conversational language entered into the system via a chat window is parsed by the parser to enable the system ultimately to construct a formal deal ticket for each deal.

Conversations are parsed by the parser to detect content relevant to a deal to generate

commands to operate the deal stack. The deal stack further processes the data to construct a formal deal ticket for each deal. The parsing process enables deal status to be reflected in the deal stack in a concise manner using language that reflects the deal status, but which may not be the same language used in the conversation. This status is displayed to the user in the interface.

Neither Ordish nor the PRNewswire article, taken alone or in any combination, teach or suggest a conversational dealing system comprising a parser that detects a change in or an intention to change deal status. Moreover, neither Ordish nor the PRNewswire article, whether taken alone or in combination, teach or suggest the parser notifying the deal stack of the change in deal status, or sending the changed deal states data to the deal stack for further processing. Indeed Ordish has no element corresponding to the deal stack. The Office Action appears to equate the ability to hold multiple simultaneous conversations with a deal stack. This is incorrect as they are not related. Ordish does not use a deal stack.

Embodiments of the present invention also convert direct deal information present on the deal stack into a conversation so that a conversational version of the direct deal information is displayed to the trader when the deal is selected. The conversational chat input via the chat window, once parsed, is processed to conform the deal related data to the format required by the deal stack. Conversely, data on the deal stack may be de-parsed into a conversational chat format to display to the user. The trader on the system therefore has the choice of entering deal information through conversational chat, which is parsed by the system, or directly using buttons on the user interface or keyboard driven menus. The trader can switch between the two during the progress of a deal. This option is not possible in Ordish.

In view of the above, it is submitted that independent claims 1, 16, 22, 36, 37 and 39 are patentable over the cited prior art.

The other claims in this application are each dependent from one or another of the independent claims discussed above and are therefore believed patentable for the same reasons. Since each dependent claim is also deemed to define an additional aspect of the invention, however, the individual reconsideration of the patentability of each on its own merits is respectfully requested.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicants respectfully request favorable reconsideration and early passage to issue of the present application.

Dated: June 15, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

Joseph W. Ragusa

Registration No.: 38,586

DIÆKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN &

OSHINSKY LLP

1177 Avenue of the Americas

41st Floor

New York, New York 10036-2714

(212) 835-1400

Attorney for Applicant







